

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20221
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	O. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/838,084	04/18/2001		Guo-Qiang Lo	IDT-1651	9576
27158	7590	04/04/2003			
BEVER, HOFFMAN & HARMS, LLP 2099 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 320				EXAMINER	
				FOONG, SUK SAN	
SAN JOSE, C	SAN JOSE, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2823	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>· </u>								
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/838,084	LO ET AL.						
' Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Suk-San Foong	2823						
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence	e address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica* - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, 1 - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how ation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory mir period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application to	ever, may a reply be timely filed nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>28 <i>January</i> 2003</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims	r allowance except for fo under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,	ormal matters, prosecution as to 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	o the merits is					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 11-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election require	ment.						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	vaminer							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ed to by the Evaminer						
i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i			(a)					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been rece	eived.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	onal Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	nal Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign languation								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 2 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al. ('823) in combination with Leung et al. ('781) and Ghandi.

Song et al. teach a method of forming trench isolation of a semiconductor device which includes forming pad oxide layer 114 over substrate 110 (Col. 4, lines 31-34 and lines 38-40, and Fig. 4), then forming silicon nitride layer 120 as hard mask layer over pad oxide layer 114 (Col. 4, lines 40-43), subsequently forming silicon oxynitride layer 122 as an anti-reflective layer over silicon nitride layer 120 (Col. 4, lines 48-50), then patterning and developing photoresist layer

Art Unit: 2823

9

150 over silicon oxynitride layer 122 by photolithography (Col. 4, lines 34-36), then etching through pad oxide layer 114, silicon nitride layer 120 and silicon oxynitride layer 122 (Col. 4, lines 51-56, and Fig. 5), subsequently etching through substrate 110 thereby forming trench 123 (Col. 4, lines 57-62, and Fig. 6), then forming liner oxide layer 125 (Col. 5, lines 37-45), and subsequently depositing insulating layer 130 in trench 123 (Col. 5, lines 50-54).

The combination process does not teach the step of conditioning the silicon oxynitride layer after trench formation.

Leung et al. discloses a method of forming a trench in semiconductor devices which includes providing silicon substrate 24 (Col. 3, lines 6-7, and Fig. 3), the forming pad oxide layer 26 over substrate 24 (Col. 3, lines 7-9), then forming and patterning photoresist layer 28 over pad oxide layer 26 (Col. 3, lines 8, 14-16), subsequently etching substrate 24 to form trench 40 (Col. 3, lines 37-40, and Fig. 5), and then removing photoresist layer 28 (Col. 3, lines 61-62), forming sacrificial oxide layer 44 by thermal oxidation on walls of trench 40 (Col. 3, lines 58-60, and Fig. 6), subsequently removing sacrificial oxide 44 using wet etching solution such as HF (Col. 4, lines 14-18, and Fig. 7).

It would have been within the scope to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Leung et al. with Song et al. because it would enable formation of sacrificial oxide 44 in the process of Song et al. and obtain further advantage of rounding of trench corners and having damage free trench walls (Leung et al., Col. 4, lines 24-28).

In regard to claim 2, the step recited in lines 1-2 would be obtained as the same materials are being treated the same as the instant invention.

Art Unit: 2823

The combination process does not teach the step as recited in claim 1, lines 11-14.

Ghandhi teaches cleaning surface of semiconductor wafers after each processing step in a fabrication using wet cleaning process (p. 641).

It would have been within the scope to one ordinary skill in the art to combine both teachings it would enable wet cleaning after formation of sacrificial oxide layer 44 of the combination process to be performed and obtain further advantage of reducing contaminants and avoiding operator error.

4. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al. (*823) in combination with Leung et al. (*781) and Ghandi as applied to claims 1, 2 and 11 above, and further in view of Ballatine et al. (*070).

The combination process does not disclose the steps as recited in claims 3 and 4.

Ballantine et al. teachings a method of forming a shallow trench isolation structure in semiconductor device which includes providing silicon substrate 1 (Col. 2, lines 6-7, and Fig. 5), then forming pad oxide 2 and nitride layer 3 over substrate 1 (Col. 2, lines 8-10), subsequently forming mask layer 5 over nitride layer 3 (Col. 2, lines 11-15, and Fig. 6), then forming trench 6 by etching through nitride layer 3, pad oxide 2 and substrate 1 (Col. 2, lines 16-20, and Fig. 7), subsequently forming oxide liner 8 in trench 6 by rapid thermal oxidation process at a temperature of about 900 to 1300°C for 1 second to less than 3 minutes (Col. 2, lines 30-43), subsequently filing trench 6 with insulating material (Col. 3, lines 16-24, and Fig. 10), and then planarizing trench 6 by chemical-mechanical polishing process (Col. 3, lines 25-36, and Figs. 11 and 13).

Art Unit: 2823

It would have been within the scope to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Ballantine et al. with the combination process because it would enable formation of sacrificial oxide layer 44 of the combination process to be performed.

Note the disclosed temperature and duration of the oxidation process are within the recited ranges.

5. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al. ('823) in combination with Leung et al. ('781) and Ghandi as applied to claims 1, 2 and 11 above, and further in view of Ballatine et al. ('070).

The combination process does not disclose the steps recited in claim 12.

Wolf teaches patterning photoresist layer by exposing the layer through a reticle in photolithography process (p. 407 and 476)

It would have been within the scope to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Wolf with the combination process because it would enable formation of photoresist layer 120 of the combination to be performed.

6. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Song et al. ('823) in combination with Leung et al. ('781) and Ghandi as applied to claims 1, 2 and 11 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

The combination process does not disclose the step in claim 18.

The combination process does not disclose the step in claim 19.

Art Unit: 2823

AAPA discloses a wet cleaning process in the presence of silicon oxynitride layer by using hydrogen fluoride (HF) and buffered oxide etch (BOE) (Instant p. 2-3).

It would have been within the scope to one ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of AAPA with the combination because it would enable wet clean step of the combination to be performed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 and claims dependent thereon have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2823

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suk-San Foong whose telephone number is 703-305-0383. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 703-306-2794. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 (7724, 3431, 3432).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

יס March 27, 2003

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823